



www.lsc.ohio.gov

# OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Office of Research  
and Drafting

Legislative Budget  
Office

H.B. 249  
135<sup>th</sup> General Assembly

## Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

[Click here for H.B. 249's Bill Analysis](#)

**Version:** As Introduced

**Primary Sponsors:** Reps. Galonski and Hillyer

**Local Impact Statement Procedure Required:** No

Robert Meeker, Senior Budget Analyst, and other LBO staff

### Highlights

- There could be additional hospitalization or treatment costs depending on a number of factors including whether the bill (1) identifies individuals, who would have eventually received treatment under current law, earlier or (2) generates new cases. If new cases are generated, state and local public health entities could realize costs.
- The probate divisions of the courts of common pleas may experience an increase in the number of hearings involving an individual's involuntary treatment for mental illness when an emergency exists. These courts should be able to utilize existing staffing levels and resources to absorb any additional work and costs. It is likely that some cases may be brought forward earlier under the bill than they otherwise would have been under current law.

### Detailed Analysis

#### Definition of “mentally ill person subject to court order” and risk of harm

The bill makes changes to the law regarding involuntary treatment for persons with a mental illness subject to court order. More specifically, the bill changes the definition of a “mentally ill person subject to a court order” by expanding the definition to also include a person who represents a substantial risk of harm to self or others as evidenced by the following:

- the person's judgement is impaired by a lack of understanding of having an illness or need for treatment;
- the person refuses or is not adhering to prescribed treatments;

- the person has been diagnosed with certain conditions, including schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, delusional disorder, or major depressive disorder; and
- the person is reasonably expected to suffer mental deterioration based on prior history, and, as a result, is expected to then meet the standards under the current law definition.<sup>1</sup>

The bill also modifies certain criteria associated with emergency involuntary hospitalization. In addition to being considered a “mentally ill person subject to a court order,” to be eligible for emergency involuntary hospitalization, an individual also must currently represent a substantial risk of physical harm to self or others if allowed to remain at liberty pending examination. The bill removes the requirement that the substantial risk of harm be a risk of “physical” harm.

## **General hospitals**

With regard to the written statement required to be given to a hospital by an individual authorized to transport a mentally ill person subject to a court order under existing law, the bill specifies that the statement is not invalid if the statement is given to a general hospital rather than a hospital licensed by the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (OhioMHAS). The bill requires a general hospital that receives a written statement to transmit the statement to an OhioMHAS-licensed hospital when the general hospital transfers the person about whom the statement was made to such a hospital. In addition, the bill adds a requirement that when transporting a person under the new category established by the bill, an individual authorized to transport the person must specify certain relevant information if the information has a reasonable bearing on the decision to transport.

The bill adds the following exceptions to the existing law requirement that a mentally ill person subject to a court order who is taken into custody and transferred to a general hospital be transferred to an OhioMHAS-licensed hospital within 24 hours: the general hospital determines the individual is not medically stable to be transferred, and the general hospital is unable to identify an OhioMHAS-licensed hospital willing to treat the individual. If specified professionals examine a person transported to a general hospital determine that the person is not a mentally ill person subject to a court order, the general hospital may release the person unless the court has issued a temporary order of detention applicable to the person. The bill specifies that the previous provision is not to be construed as requiring a general hospital to have the resources for or provide the staff identified to make such a determination.

## **Fiscal impact**

### **Treatment costs**

The fiscal impacts of the bill associated with hospitalization or treatment costs will primarily depend on if the bill (1) generates new cases or (2) identifies individuals, who would have eventually received involuntary treatment under current law, earlier. If cases are identified and treatments are rendered earlier, it is possible that some stabilization and treatment costs might actually be reduced. If there are new cases generated, the costs will depend on the number

---

<sup>1</sup> Please see the bill analysis for an overview of the current law definition.

of such cases, the scope of treatments rendered, and insurance reimbursements or patient contributions received. OhioMHAS regional psychiatric hospitals (RPH) could realize an increase in costs, as could any public hospitals that provide care. Additionally, if a public hospital kept an individual for longer than 24 hours due to an exception established by the bill, the public hospital could realize costs to provide care. These costs would depend on any insurance reimbursements or patient contributions received.<sup>2</sup> Private insurance, Medicare, and Medicaid could reimburse for medically necessary treatment or hospitalizations. In cases in which insurance was unavailable, patients could be charged. In addition, some county alcohol, drug addiction, and mental health services (ADAMHS) boards may pay some emergency hospitalization costs, if funds are available, for indigent patients in certain circumstances. ADAMHS boards could also realize costs to establish emergency and crisis care plans for residents impacted by the bill or to reimburse for any additional assessments required.

### **Probate courts**

Probate courts may experience an increase in the number of hearings involving an individual's involuntary treatment for mental illness when an emergency exists. For the ten-year period covering calendar years 2013 through 2022, probate courts reported an average of 88,369 incoming cases statewide per year, of which 6,263, or 7.1%, involved civil commitments. The increase in hearings is not expected to be significant; some cases may be heard earlier under the bill than they otherwise would have been under current law. The courts will likely be able to utilize existing staffing levels and resources to absorb any additional work and related costs.

### **Ohio State Highway Patrol**

The bill adds State Highway Patrol troopers to the list of individuals who are authorized to detain a person and initiate emergency involuntary hospitalization. The Patrol does not currently have express authority to transport a person for emergency involuntary hospitalization and instead generally relies on authorized individuals to provide that service. By adding troopers to the list of authorized individuals, the bill is expected to streamline the Patrol's process in cases involving emergency involuntary treatment, potentially resulting in a savings of time and effort in those cases.

FNHB0249IN-135/lb

---

<sup>2</sup> There are currently six OhioMHAS regional psychiatric hospitals. The inpatient daily rate in CY 2023 for all payors ranged from about \$610 to \$938. See the "[OhioMHAS Hospital Rates](#)" (PDF), which is available by conducting a "hospital rates" keyword search on OhioMHAS's website: [mha.ohio.gov](http://mha.ohio.gov).