

OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Joseph Rogers

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

Bill: S.B. 214 of the 132nd G.A. Status: As Reported by Senate Judiciary

Sponsor: Sens. Terhar and Lehner Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Subject: Prohibits female genital mutilation

State & Local Fiscal Highlights

- County criminal justice systems generally are likely to have sufficient staffing and appropriated resources to absorb any costs generated by a potentially small number of new felony cases. There may also be some annual revenue gain from local court costs, fees, and fines imposed on violators.
- There may be a relatively small number of additional offenders sentenced to state
 prison annually. The result would be a marginal increase in the annual institutional
 operating expenses of the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC) that
 can be absorbed utilizing existing appropriations.
- The state may gain negligible annual revenue in the amount of the locally collected court costs that are credited to the Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 4020) and the Indigent Defense Support Fund (Fund 5DY0).

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The bill prohibits: (1) any person from knowingly committing the act of female genital mutilation (FGM) of another person under age 18, and (2) any person from knowingly transporting a minor to any destination for the purpose of facilitating FGM.¹ A violation of either prohibition is a second degree felony. Under current law, unchanged by the bill, a sentencing court can impose on the offender a two, three, four, five, six, seven, or eight-year definite prison term, a fine of up to \$15,000, or both. The bill also requires the court impose an additional fine of up to \$25,000.

The key question for this fiscal analysis is the frequency with which FGM is occurring in Ohio and the likely number of new criminal cases generated by the bill. Accurate statistics on the number of FGM occurrences do not exist. A 2016 report referenced by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) estimates that more than 500,000 young girls are at risk of FGM in the U.S., and somewhere between 10,000 and 25,000 in

www.lsc.ohio.gov November 30, 2018

-

¹ FGM is a practice, also known in other parts of the world as female circumcision, which has some cultural imperative among certain ethnic populations largely of African and Middle Eastern origins.

Ohio are at risk.² At risk does not mean they necessarily experienced FGM, but that they are connected to or part of the cultural communities in which the practice occurs.

While FGM may or may not be fairly common among certain ethnic populations in Ohio, it is not a medical procedure performed by licensed medical professionals. The Ohio Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics has indicated that pediatricians are seeing very little evidence of FGM and its medical consequences in their daily practices (minors requiring treatment for complications arising from FGM). They have indicated they believe the practice is rare in Ohio based on this observation of a very small number of patients seen with subsequent medical issues. If FGM is performed within families at home, the reporting of new offenses could be very problematic. In fact, given the cultural imperative among some groups, criminalizing FGM may drive it further underground, and shroud it in greater secrecy. All of these issues indicate that the number of known cases appears to be small, which then suggests that the number of new criminal cases generated by violations of the bill's prohibitions is likely to be relatively small annually statewide.

County criminal justice systems generally are likely to have sufficient staffing and appropriated resources to absorb any costs generated by a potentially small number of new felony cases. There may also be some annual gain in the revenue collected from local court costs, fees, and fines imposed on violators. The bill also requires an additional fine of up to \$25,000 for a conviction. Given the historic reality that criminal fines at the felony level can be difficult to collect, the likelihood of collecting such a large additional fine is probably low.

The creation of this new criminal offense may result in a small number of additional offenders being sentenced annually to a state prison, which would have a slight impact on the institutional operating expenses of the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (DRC). With a very small number of additional inmates entering the prison system, the annual marginal cost of providing food, clothing, and medical care is about \$3,600 per inmate, a cost that DRC would be able to absorb.

With each felony conviction, the state might gain revenue in the form of locally collected state court costs forwarded for crediting to the Indigent Defense Support Fund (Fund 5DY0) and the Victims of Crime/Reparations Fund (Fund 4020). In the case of a felony, the court is generally required to impose state court costs totaling \$60, divided as follows: \$30 to Fund 5DY0 and \$30 to Fund 4020.

SB0214SR.docx/zg

_

² Goldberg H, Stupp P, Okoroh E, Besera G, Goodman D, and Danel, I. Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting in the United States: Updated Estimates of Women and Girls at Risk, 2012. Public Health Reports 2016; Vol. 131:1-8.