

## **Ohio Legislative Service Commission**

www.lsc.ohio.gov

Office of Research and Drafting

Legislative Budget Office

## Substitute Bill Comparative Synopsis

Sub. H.B. 218

## 133<sup>rd</sup> General Assembly

House State and Local Government

Alyssa Bethel, Attorney

This table summarizes how the latest substitute version of the bill differs from the immediately preceding version. It addresses only the topics on which the two versions differ substantively. It does not list topics on which the two bills are substantively the same.

Previous Version (I_133_1026-1)	Latest Version (I_133_1026-3)
Public Improvement Law (R.C. 168.05 and 168.14)	
No provision.	Specifies that a public-private agreement must comply with laws applicable to public improvements to the extent those laws do not conflict with the provisions of the bill.
No provision.	Specifies that if an improvement that is not part of a public-private agreement is made to a facility during the term of a public-private agreement, the improvement must be made in accordance with laws applicable to public improvements.

Previous Version (I_133_1026-1)	Latest Version (I_133_1026-3)	
Alternative dispute resolution (R.C. 168.05)		
Requires a party having a claim against the public body under a public- private agreement to exhaust all administrative remedies specified in the agreement before filing an action against the public body in the court of claims.	No provision.	
Prohibits an appeal of the determination of a technical expert (during the alternative dispute resolution process) except under certain conditions (e.g., misconduct by technical expert).	No provision.	
Evaluation factors (R.C. 168.04)		
Sets forth factors a public body must consider when evaluating an unsolicited proposal and any competing proposals, which vary from the factors a public body must consider when evaluating a solicited proposal.	Uses the same set of factors for evaluating unsolicited proposals and solicited proposals.	

H0218-3-133/ks