



www.lsc.ohio.gov

OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Office of Research
and Drafting

Legislative Budget
Office

H.B. 206
135th General Assembly

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

[Click here for H.B. 206's Bill Analysis](#)

Version: As Introduced

Primary Sponsors: Reps. Click and Robb Blasdel

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Andrew C. Ephlin, Budget Analyst

Highlights

- School districts and other public schools that choose to expel a student for imminent and severe endangerment under the bill must require the expelled student to undergo a mental health assessment as a condition for reinstatement. The cost of the assessment will fall entirely to the district or school if the district or school employs or contracts with the professional conducting the assessment. Otherwise, the district or school will pay half the cost not covered by the student's health insurance.
- The bill may also lead to permissive costs for districts and schools to develop and carry out a plan for the continued education of a student expelled under the bill during the expulsion period. The costs of educating expelled students under the bill may vary widely depending on the educational options chosen and any arrangements made with alternative providers.

Detailed Analysis

Student expulsion for imminent and severe endangerment

Under continuing law, school district superintendents and the administrators of community schools and science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) schools may expel students for serious violations of the district or school's code of conduct for a period not to exceed either 80 school days or the number of school days remaining in the semester or term in which the incident took place. Longer expulsions generally are required if a student brings a firearm onto school property, or to a school program or activity, and permitted if a student commits certain other acts. The bill permits boards of education and community and STEM school governing bodies to adopt a resolution authorizing the expulsion of students for up to 180 school days for actions that pose "imminent and severe endangerment to the health and safety" of other

students or school employees. The bill leaves it to the discretion of district and school leadership to determine what constitutes “imminent and severe endangerment.”

In the 2022-2023 school year, districts and schools reported 4,485 expulsions statewide, including 800 for offenses such as making threats, intimidation and harassment, and use, possession, sale, or distribution of a dangerous weapon. The 800 expulsions represent 0.2% of all discipline occurrences, and a rate of about five per 10,000 students. Given the relatively low number of such expulsions currently, it is assumed that the number of expulsions under the bill will also be low. However, the bill may lead to permissive fiscal effects on districts and schools that choose to adopt an imminent and severe endangerment expulsion policy, primarily for mental health assessments and continued education for expelled students. These topics are discussed in more detail below.

Assessments for reinstatement

Students subject to expulsion under the bill are entitled to the same due process procedures as students subject to other types of expulsion. However, the bill also requires the superintendent of the district or school to develop conditions for the expelled student to satisfy before they may be reinstated and provide a written copy to the district or school’s board, the student, and the student’s parent or guardian. The bill requires that one such condition be an assessment by a psychiatrist, psychologist, or school psychologist to determine whether the student poses a danger. If the superintendent or administrator determines the student has not shown “sufficient rehabilitation” the expulsion may be extended for another period of up to 90 school days. The conditions for the extension period may differ or remain the same as in the original expulsion period, and must also include an assessment at the end of the extension period under the same assessment requirements. The bill permits an early assessment and a reduced expulsion period by request of the student’s parent or guardian, or on a case-by-case basis determined by the superintendent or administrator.

The bill requires the district or school to pay for the full cost of the assessment if it employs or contracts for the psychiatrist, psychologist, or school psychologist. Should the psychiatrist, psychologist, or school psychologist not be employed or contracted by the district, the cost of that assessment must be referred for payment to the student’s health insurance. Any costs not covered by the student’s health insurance must be split equally between the district and the student’s parent or guardian. Responsibilities for the cost of the assessment are the same for both an early assessment and an assessment conducted at the end of the full expulsion period.

The bill may lead to an increase in mental health services workloads or costs for school districts or other public schools that opt to adopt a policy under the bill and employ or contract with such professionals. Districts and schools that do not contract for or use their own psychiatrists or psychologists to conduct assessments may incur increased costs if the student’s health insurance does not cover the full cost of the assessment. The bill may also lead to an increase in caseloads for any state or local entity that employs mental health service providers. Much of the cost to these entities will be reimbursed by either Medicaid or private insurance providers, or paid for by the local alcohol, drug addiction, and mental health (ADAMH) services board where the student resides. The overall cost of a psychological assessment varies according to the circumstances of each patient. However, as a point of reference, Mid-Ohio Psychological

Services, a nonprofit community mental health agency, charges \$175 per hour for psychological testing services. Other providers may charge higher or lower rates.

Continued education plan

When a student is expelled under current law, the district or school that expelled the student may provide educational services to the student in an alternative setting. In contrast, the bill requires the superintendent or administrator of a district or school opting to adopt a policy regarding expulsion for imminent and severe endangerment to develop a plan for the continued education of a student expelled under that policy. The plan must be developed within five days after the beginning of the original expulsion period or any extended expulsion period. The bill provides districts and schools with discretion in the educational options available to an expelled student. Therefore, the costs of educating expelled students under the bill may vary widely depending on the options chosen and any arrangements made with alternative providers. The plan may include an alternative school operated by the district or school, instruction at home, enrollment in another public or nonpublic school, or any other form of instruction that complies with the compulsory school attendance law.

Administrative costs

The bill may increase the administrative workload of school districts and other public schools that opt to adopt a policy under the bill by requiring the superintendent or administrator to issue the notice and conditions of a student's expulsion to the school board, the student, and the student's parent or guardian. In addition, the bill requires that, if a district board or school governing authority adopts a policy under the bill, the board or governing authority must (1) specify reasons for which an expulsion period can be reduced, (2) establish guidelines regarding appropriate conditions for a student's reinstatement, and (3) develop a list of alternative educational options for students expelled under the bill, presumably for use by the superintendent or administrator in developing the continued education plans for expelled students.