



www.lsc.ohio.gov

OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Office of Research
and Drafting

Legislative Budget
Office

S.B. 1
136th General Assembly

Final Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

[Click here for S.B. 1's Bill Analysis](#)

Primary Sponsor: Sen. Cirino

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Jason Glover, Senior Budget Analyst

Highlights

Diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) provisions

- State institutions of higher education may experience potentially significant increases in administrative costs to implement the bill's policies related to DEI, depending on each institution's current policies and practices. These costs may be related to the adoption of new or redrafting of existing policies, retraining employees, and redesigning courses.
- Institutions may also experience administrative cost savings through the elimination of current DEI-related offices, departments, and other activities. In particular, personnel costs may be lowered if faculty and staff positions primarily dedicated to DEI are eliminated, rather than redirected to other departments or positions.

Other higher education provisions

- Any state institution that does not currently offer enough courses in American civic literacy to instruct every student, as a prerequisite for a bachelor's degree, may need to develop those courses and hire additional faculty to teach them. The costs to develop courses and hire new faculty would be offset somewhat by additional tuition and State Share of Instruction (SSI) revenue from students who enroll and complete those classes.
- Some state institutions may incur costs to have a syllabus for each undergraduate course ready to post publicly by the time each class starts.
- Certain state institutions that have low enrollment programs that confer fewer than five degrees each year may realize a cost savings by closing those programs.
- To the extent that a state institution is currently receiving gifts, donations, or contributions from an organization that may be acting on behalf of the government of China, it will forego such revenue. A state institution also may forego revenue associated

with an agreement between it and an academic or research institution located in China, if it is unable to maintain sufficient structural safeguards.

Ohio Department of Higher Education (ODHE) responsibilities

- The bill will increase the administrative costs for ODHE to provide education programs for the board of trustees of each state institution and to conduct a feasibility study regarding the implementation of bachelor's degree programs in the state that require three years to complete.

Detailed Analysis

Overview

The bill makes numerous changes to the laws governing higher education. These changes include: prohibiting full-time faculty members of state institutions of higher education from striking, reducing, from nine years to six years, the length of terms of office for state university board of trustee members who are appointed on or after July 1, 2025, and new requirements for state institutions regarding diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) policies and offices, intellectual diversity, and faculty evaluations. The bill requires each state institution to: (1) prepare and submit to the Chancellor of Higher Education, prior to each operating and capital appropriations bill, a rolling five-year summary of its institutional costs according to guidelines established by the Chancellor, (2) update and submit to the Chancellor at least once every five years, its faculty workload policy, (3) conduct an annual evaluation for each full-time faculty member it directly compensates, (4) adopt and develop policies on post-tenure review and tenure and retrenchment, respectively,¹ (5) develop and implement a three credit hour American civic literacy course requirement for certain students beginning with students who graduate in the spring of 2030, and (6) submit and post on its website various documents and reports required by the bill.

Some of these provisions may marginally increase administrative costs for state institutions, while others will increase administrative costs more substantially. When taken as a whole, however, administrative costs may increase significantly, potentially resulting in the need to hire additional staff to handle the increased workload. On the other hand, any significant costs incurred by a state institution may be offset, at least somewhat, by certain other provisions of the bill that may result in administrative cost savings. Additionally, some provisions may affect one sector type of state institution more than the other. The Ohio Department of Higher Education (ODHE) will also incur increased administrative costs for fulfilling several new responsibilities required under the bill. Provisions with the most notable fiscal effects are discussed below. For more information on all of the provisions in the bill, please see the bill analysis.

¹ The bill prohibits collective bargaining with respect to a state institution's faculty workload policies, faculty performance evaluation systems, tenure and most retrenchment policies, and post-tenure review policies and requires them to prevail over any conflicting provision of a collective bargaining agreement entered into on or after the bill's effective date.

DEI-related provisions

DEI and intellectual diversity

The bill requires, no later than 90 days after the bill's effective date, state institutions to adopt and enforce a policy that requires the institution to prohibit, affirm and declare, or demonstrate a series of 13 prescribed requirements related to DEI (or another designation with a similar purpose), intellectual diversity, and other concepts. Some of these provisions require the state institution to prohibit any orientations or training courses regarding DEI,² continuation or creation of DEI offices or departments, using DEI in job descriptions and scholarships, contracting with anyone that would promote admissions, hiring, or promotion on the basis of race, ethnicity, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression; affirm and declare that the institution will not encourage, discourage, require, or forbid students, faculty, or administrators to endorse, assent to, or publicly express a given ideology, political stance, or view of a social policy; and to demonstrate intellectual diversity for course approval, approval of courses to satisfy general education requirements, student course evaluations, common reading programs, annual reviews, strategic goals for each department, and student learning outcomes. Each state institution must also investigate and resolve any complaint against any administrator, faculty member, staff, or student who interferes with the intellectual diversity rights of another individual. The bill permits the General Assembly to withhold or reduce state funding from state higher education institutions that fail to comply with these 13 requirements.

State institutions will incur costs to adopt and enforce this policy. According to the Ohio Association of Community Colleges (OACC), costs may include additional compensation to faculty to redesign courses to ensure that each course is in compliance with these provisions, as the process of a course redesign is often detailed in collective bargaining agreements. Additionally, according to the Inter-University Council of Ohio (IUC), investigating and adjudicating violations of intellectual diversity rights may result in costs similar to addressing violations of Title IX, which varies by institution based on how many cases they have each year.

On the other hand, to the extent that a state institution is currently funding any DEI offices or departments, it may realize potentially significant cost savings by eliminating faculty and staff positions within those offices or departments. According to IUC, 13 of their 14 member universities report a total of 240.5 DEI full-time equivalent (FTE) positions and approximately \$27.5 million total in operating expenses. According to information received by OACC from its member institutions, they estimate total operating costs for DEI programs, offices, departments, and personnel across all 22 community colleges in FY 2025 to be under \$2.0 million in FY 2025. This estimate includes a reported total of 13 employees dedicated to DEI efforts. In fact, OACC reports that less than half of the 22 community colleges have employees dedicated to DEI-related

² The bill permits institutions to seek an exemption from the bill's DEI prohibitions and related requirements for an orientation or training course because all aspects of the orientation or course are required to obtain or retain accreditation or to comply with state and federal laws or regulations or state or federal professional licensure requirements. Institutions may also exempt any existing agreements or contracts for research grants, or ones that will be entered into prior to the bill's effective date. However, the requirements do apply to any renewal of the research grant on or after the bill's effective date.

efforts. Cost savings could be less if a state institution opts to, instead, redirect these employees to other departments or positions.

Segregation prohibition

The bill requires state institutions of higher education to prohibit all policies explicitly designed to segregate faculty, staff, or students based on those individuals' race, ethnicity, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression in credit-earning classroom settings, formal orientation ceremonies, and formal graduation ceremonies. Each state institution must also respond to alleged violations of this provision using the same process as for free speech policy complaints under continuing law. Costs for state institutions to implement this provision will depend on the extent to which they are currently segregating students based upon these specified identities.

Prohibition on support and training for certain concepts

The bill prohibits state institutions from using race, ethnicity, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression to do either of the following in relation to faculty, staff, and students: (1) provide disparate treatment, or (2) provide any advantage, or disadvantage in admissions, hiring, promotion, tenuring, or workplace conditions. It also prohibits state institutions from providing or requiring training for any administrator, teacher, staff member, or employee that advocates or promotes certain listed concepts regarding race or sex and requires them to implement a range of disciplinary sanctions for any administrator, teacher, staff member, or employee who authorize or engage in a prohibited training. Like the provision above, each state institution must also respond to alleged violations of this provision using the same process as for free speech policy complaints under continuing law.

Administrative costs for state institutions will increase to adopt policies that align with this provision and, to the extent that these provisions diverge from current policy, to develop and provide retraining for faculty and staff. For example, according to OACC, these provisions could lead to the re-opening and re-negotiating of collective bargaining agreements, which could result in significant cost increases. On the other hand, state institutions may experience a reduction in operating expenditures depending on how they decide to respond to these provisions. For example, to the extent that a state institution is currently funding anything that is based on the identities specified above, it may decide to eliminate certain faculty and staff positions which may result in significant cost savings.

American civic literacy requirement

Beginning with students who graduate in the spring of 2030, the bill prohibits each state institution of higher education from granting a bachelor's degree to any student who has not completed a course with at least three credit hours in the subject area of American civic literacy, including a study of the American economic system and capitalism. The bill authorizes a state institution to exempt a student from this requirement if it determines the student has completed at least one of the following: (1) a course offered under the College Credit Plus Program, (2) an Advanced Placement (AP) course (and scores a three or higher on the AP test), provided that the course satisfies the relevant content requirements and is approved by the Chancellor, or (3) at least three credit hours, or the equivalent, in a course in the subject area of American history or American government. State institutions may require students to complete the course as part of its general education courses of study. A state institution may incur additional costs to develop a

course, if necessary, and to hire additional faculty to teach these courses if it does not currently offer enough courses in American civic literacy to instruct every student. According to IUC, annual costs for universities to offer this course could range from little, if any, cost at universities that currently offer a course to millions of dollars per year at those that would need to establish new course sections to meet the bill's requirements. Any new costs may be offset somewhat by additional tuition revenue received from students enrolling in those courses and subsidy received from ODHE under the State Share of Instruction (SSI) formula for students who complete those courses.

Syllabus posting

Beginning in the 2026-2027 academic year, the bill generally requires each state institution of higher education to make a syllabus for each undergraduate course it offers for college credit publicly available by doing one of the following: (1) ensuring that each instructor posts a course syllabus on a publicly accessible website, (2) posting a course syllabus for each course on its publicly accessible website, or (3) if the institution is a community college, posting a general syllabus for a course on its publicly available website. All institutions, no matter the method they select, must make a syllabus available no later than the first day of classes for the semester or academic term in which the course is offered. Some state institutions may already post a syllabus for each course on its website in a similar manner as prescribed by the bill. For these state institutions, there may be minimal administrative costs to meet the requirements of the bill. However, other state institutions may experience administrative costs likely exceeding minimal to make and post their course syllabi in the timeframe and manner prescribed by the bill. The bill exempts College Credit Plus Program courses delivered in a high school by a high school teacher from these requirements.

Faculty evaluations

The bill requires each state institution of higher education to establish written systems of faculty evaluations completed by students and peers that focus on teaching effectiveness and student learning and on the faculty member's professional development related to the faculty member's teaching responsibilities, respectively. The bill also requires each state institution to conduct an annual evaluation for each full-time faculty member it directly compensates that must meet a series of prescribed requirements. Each institution must review and update its policy every five years. Overall, administrative costs are likely to increase for state institutions, mostly dependent upon how close any evaluation system each state institution has in place is to meeting the bill's requirement. According to IUC and OACC, these costs could be significant, especially if it requires state institutions to create new evaluation systems from scratch or to renegotiate existing, or negotiate new, employment contracts or collective bargaining agreements.

Elimination of specified undergraduate degree programs

The bill requires a state institution of higher education that has tenured faculty members to eliminate any undergraduate degree program it offers if the institution confers an average of fewer than five degrees in the program annually over any three-year period, excluding years prior to the year in which the program confers its first undergraduate degree. The Chancellor may grant a waiver to allow a state institution to conditionally continue a low enrollment program, if it meets terms determined by the Chancellor including if the program is to be deemed eligible for SSI funding support.

Ultimately, any cost savings related to this provision will vary among the institutions and sectors of state institution. For example, according to IUC, one university has already eliminated all 30 of its low enrollment programs, while another one currently has 56 programs that would need to be closed. Two more universities estimate that closing their ten to 20 low enrollment programs could ultimately save over \$300,000 each year. Many others fall somewhere in between these estimates or are still determining how many programs will be affected. According to OACC, cost savings under this provision could be modest since most community colleges do not have tenured faculty.

Interactions with the government of China

The bill prohibits state institutions of higher education from accepting gifts, donations, or contributions from the government of China or any organization that the institution reasonably suspects is acting on its behalf. However, payments from Chinese citizens related to instructional fees, general fees, special fees, cost of instruction, or educational expenses or donations from the institution's alumni are expressly allowed, as are philanthropic or unrestricted grants so long as the state institution maintains sufficient structural safeguards to protect intellectual property and state and national security. State institutions are also prohibited from entering into a new or renewed academic partnership with an academic or research institution located in China unless the state institution notifies the Chancellor and maintains the same prescribed structural safeguards. The bill requires the Auditor of State to audit the safeguards implemented by a state institution under the course of a normal audit.

To the extent that a state institution is currently receiving gifts, donations, or contributions from the government of China or an organization that may be acting on behalf of the government of China, it will forego such revenue. A state institution also may forego revenue associated with an agreement between it and an academic or research institution located in China if it is unable to maintain sufficient structural safeguards.

ODHE administrative costs

The bill contains several provisions that will increase administrative costs for ODHE. Among the several ODHE-related requirements, the bill requires ODHE to do the following:

1. Develop and annually provide educational programs that must include content on 17 specified topics for the board of trustees of each state institution of higher education;
2. Prepare and report on several of the provisions in the bill, including a report that includes all state institutions' five-year institutional cost summaries and another one that relates to state institution compliance with the bill's syllabus posting requirements;
3. Conduct a feasibility study regarding the implementation of bachelor's degree programs in the state that require three years to complete;
4. Review and approve a plan submitted by each state institution to offer an American civic literacy course required for graduation beginning with students who graduate in spring of 2030; and
5. Post on its website each policy or report that state institutions of higher education are required to submit under the bill.