

Ohio Legislative Service Commission

Bill Analysis

Audra Tidball

Sub. H.B. 505

131st General Assembly (As Reported by S. Health and Human Services)

Reps. Huffman and Pelanda, Becker, T. Johnson, Sprague, Ginter, Barnes, Brown, Butler, Schuring, Amstutz, Anielski, Antonio, Baker, Burkley, Dovilla, Gonzales, Green, Grossman, McClain, S. O'Brien, Rogers, Sears, R. Smith, Sweeny

Sens. Gardner, Jones, Cafaro, Brown, Beagle, Tavares

BILL SUMMARY

Substitution of interchangeable biological products

- Authorizes substitution of an interchangeable biological product for a prescribed biological product under circumstances and conditions similar to those of current law governing substitution of a generically equivalent drug for a prescribed drug.
- Defines "biological product" and "interchangeable biological product" by reference to federal law and provides that the definitions automatically include certain changes to the federal law, subject to rulemaking by the State Board of Pharmacy.
- Specifies information a pharmacist who dispenses a drug for which an interchangeable biological product is available must communicate to the prescriber.
- Modifies existing law with regard to how a prescriber may prohibit a pharmacist from substituting a generic drug for a drug prescribed by its brand name and applies this law to the substitution of biological products.

Prior authorization deadlines

• Changes the start date for the period within which a health plan issuer must complete a prior authorization review from the date the health plan issuer receives all information needed to process the review to the date the health plan issuer receives the request for prior authorization.

Exemption from health insuring corporation application review requirements

• Adds to the list of entities exempt from specified application review under the Health Insuring Corporation Law health insuring corporations solely covering individuals in the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program.

Physician assistant supervision agreements

- Delays the expiration date of a physician assistant supervision agreement by one year if the agreement, under current law, would expire on January 31, 2017.
- Declares an emergency.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Substitution of interchangeable biological products by pharmacists
Biological product definition 3
Automatic changes to biological product definitions4
Ohio Pure Food and Drug Law changes 5
Misbranding5
Labeling
Pharmacy Law changes
Selection of generically equivalent drugs and interchangeable biological products
Communication for interchangeable biological product substitution
Prohibition
Definition changes9
HEALTH INSURANCE
Prior authorization – biological products
Prior authorization deadlines – health care generally10
Exemption from health insuring corporation application review requirements10
Overview10
Exemptions11
PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPERVISION AGREEMENTS11
Delayed expiration11

CONTENT AND OPERATION

BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS

Substitution of interchangeable biological products by pharmacists

The bill modifies Ohio's Pure Food and Drug Law and its Pharmacy Law to authorize a pharmacist to substitute an interchangeable biological product for a prescribed biological product under similar circumstances and subject to similar conditions as substitution of a generic drug for a prescribed drug under current law. Generally, biological products are medical products made from natural human, animal, or microorganism sources. According to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), biological products are among the medications used to treat rheumatoid arthritis, anemia, psoriasis, and various forms of cancer.¹

Whereas a generic drug is a copy of a brand-name drug that has the same active ingredient, an interchangeable biological product has allowable differences because it is made from living organisms. The FDA approves interchangeable biological products that meet standards of biosimilarity and are expected to produce the same clinical results as the reference products they are compared to.²

Biological product definition

Because Ohio law defines "drug" broadly, including articles intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in humans or animals and articles, other than food, intended to affect the structure or any function of the body of humans or other animals,³ a biological product is a drug under Ohio law. The bill generally defines "biological product" as a drug that is a biological product under the federal Public Health Service Act⁴ as of the bill's effective date.⁵ (This reflects a holding of the Ohio Supreme Court that references in the Revised Code to federal law incorporate the federal law as it existed on the date the state law was enacted and do not incorporate amendments to federal law adopted after the state law's effective date.⁶ For a discussion of how the bill addresses future changes to federal law, see "**Automatic changes to biological product definitions**," below).

Federal law defines "biological product" as any of the following applicable to the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease or condition of human beings: a virus, therapeutic serum, toxin, antitoxin, vaccine, blood, blood component or derivative, allergenic product, protein (except any chemically synthesized polypeptide), or

¹ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *Information for Consumers (Biosimilars)*, <u>http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/TherapeuticBiologicApplications/Biosimilars/ucm241718.htm</u> (last updated August 27, 2015).

² Information for Consumers (Biosimilars).

³ R.C. 3715.01(A)(3) and 4729.01(E).

⁴ 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) 262.

⁵ R.C. 3715.01(A)(20).

⁶ State v. Gill, 63 Ohio St.3d 53, 55 (1992).

analogous product, or arsphenamine or derivative of arsphenamine (or any other trivalent organic arsenic compound).⁷

The bill generally defines "interchangeable biological product" as both of the following:

(1) A biological product that, as of the bill's effective date, has been determined by the FDA to meet federal interchangeability standards and has been licensed by the FDA under the federal Public Health Service Act;⁸

(2) A biological product that, prior to the bill's effective date, was determined by the FDA to be therapeutically equivalent as set forth in the FDA publication "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations."⁹ That publication, commonly referred to as the "Orange Book," contains drug products approved by the FDA under the federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act¹⁰ and may contain interchangeable biological products approved before the FDA began listing them in a separate publication that is commonly known as the "Purple Book."¹¹

Automatic changes to biological product definitions

The bill provides that when one of the following changes occurs under federal law with respect to a biological product or interchangeable biological product, the change is automatically effected under Ohio's Pure Food and Drug Law and the Pharmacy Law, subject to rulemaking by the State Board of Pharmacy:

(1) An article is added to or removed from the definition of "biological product" under the federal Public Health Service Act;

⁷ 42 U.S.C. 262(i).

⁸ R.C. 3715.01(A)(21)(a).

⁹ R.C. 3715.01(A)(21)(b).

¹⁰ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations* (*Orange Book*), <u>http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ucm129662.htm</u> (last updated August 15, 2016).

¹¹ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *Purple Book: Lists of Licensed Biological Products with Reference Product Exclusivity and Biosimilarity or Interchangeability Evaluations*, <u>http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/Appr</u> <u>ovalApplications/TherapeuticBiologicApplications/Biosimilars/ucm411418.htm</u> (last updated September 23, 2016).

(2) The FDA determines that a biological product meets standards for interchangeability under the federal Public Health Service Act and is licensed under that law;

(3) The FDA determines that a biological product no longer meets the standards for interchangeability under the federal Public Health Service Act and the product's license is suspended or revoked.¹²

The bill authorizes the State Board of Pharmacy to adopt rules to exclude from the definitions discussed above a biological product or interchangeable biological product that would otherwise automatically be included due to a change in federal law. The Board's rules must establish criteria to be used in determining whether a product is to be excluded. All rules must be adopted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (R.C. Chapter 119.).¹³

This provision is modeled on Ohio's Controlled Substances Law, which provides for automatic updates of the controlled substance schedules to correspond to actions of the U.S. Attorney General, subject to rulemaking by the State Board of Pharmacy.¹⁴ The Ohio Supreme Court has upheld this approach and found it not to violate Ohio's constitution, which generally prohibits delegation of legislative authority to other entities, including the federal government.¹⁵

Ohio Pure Food and Drug Law changes

Misbranding

The bill specifies that in the case of a drug that is a biological product, it is considered misbranding if the drug is neither the brand or biological product prescribed nor an interchangeable biological product. Current law specifies other circumstances under which a drug is considered misbranded, including when a drug that is sold or dispensed is not the brand or drug specifically prescribed or ordered or, when dispensed by a pharmacist, is neither the brand or drug prescribed, or a generically equivalent drug.¹⁶

¹² R.C. 3715.011(A).

¹³ R.C. 3715.011(B).

¹⁴ R.C. 3719.43 and 3719.44, not in the bill.

¹⁵ State v. Klinck, 44 Ohio St.3d 108, 110 (1989); Ohio Const., Art. II, Secs. 1 and 26.

¹⁶ R.C. 3715.64(A)(10)(d).

Labeling

The bill extends current drug labeling provisions to interchangeable biological products and makes other modifications. Under the bill, the label for a dispensed drug must include the dispensed drug's brand name unless the dispensed drug has no brand name. In that case, if the drug is a generically equivalent drug, the label must include the generic name and the distributor of the finished dosage form. If the drug is an interchangeable biological product, the bill requires the label to include the name of the product, the manufacturer, and if the distributor is not the same as the manufacturer, the distributor of the finished dosage form. Abbreviations may be used as necessary.¹⁷

The bill clarifies a reference to prescription directions regarding labeling. Under the bill, a drug's label must include the information specified above unless the prescriber instructs otherwise. This replaces a provision that refers to prescription directions that prohibit labeling.¹⁸

Pharmacy Law changes

Selection of generically equivalent drugs and interchangeable biological products

Current law specifies several conditions that must be met before a pharmacist filling a prescription for a drug prescribed by its brand name may select a generically equivalent drug. The bill largely maintains the conditions and applies them to the selection of interchangeable biological products.

Under the bill, unless instructed otherwise by the person receiving the prescribed drug, a pharmacist filling a prescription for a drug by its brand name may select a generically equivalent drug, or in the case of a drug that is a biological product, select an interchangeable biological product, subject to several conditions.¹⁹

Both current law and the bill prohibit substitution if the prescriber takes action to prevent it. Under current law, substitution of generically equivalent drugs is prohibited if the prescriber handwrites "dispense as written" or "D.A.W." on written prescriptions or indicates a drug is medically necessary in the case of an electronic or oral prescription. The bill generally maintains this but provides that in the case of a written or electronic prescription, including a computer generated prescription, substitution of a generically equivalent drug or interchangeable biological product is prohibited if the prescriber handwrites or actively causes to display on the prescription "dispense as

-6-

¹⁷ R.C. 3715.64(B)(2).

¹⁸ R.C. 3715.64(B).

¹⁹ R.C. 4729.38(B).

written," "D.A.W.," "do not substitute," "brand medically necessary," or any other statement or numerical code that indicates the prescriber's intent to prevent substitution.²⁰ In the case of an oral prescription, the bill provides that substitution is prohibited if the prescriber specifies that the drug is medically necessary or otherwise indicates the prescriber's intent to prevent substitution.²¹

The bill maintains a provision of current law that prohibits "D.A.W." designations from being preprinted or stamped on prescriptions. However, the bill modifies a corresponding provision specifying that this prohibition does not preclude a reminder of the procedure to prevent generic substitution from being preprinted on prescriptions. Under the bill, in the case of either a written or electronic prescription, a reminder to the prescriber of the procedure for designating an intent to prevent substitution may be preprinted or displayed on the prescription form or electronic system the prescriber uses to issue the prescription.²²

The bill maintains two other conditions of current law: (1) that the price to the patient must not be greater than that of the brand name and (2) that the patient must be informed of the right to refuse the drug selected. The bill makes those conditions applicable to the substitution of interchangeable biological products as well.²³

Regarding labeling, the bill modifies existing law to account for the substitution of interchangeable biological products and also makes the labeling requirements the same as those of the Pure Food and Drug Law (see "**Labeling**," above).²⁴ The bill maintains a requirement that the pharmacist, when dispensing at retail a substituted drug for a drug prescribed by its brand name, indicate on the label that a substitution was made.²⁵

The bill also maintains and applies to the substitution of interchangeable biological products existing provisions that address pharmacist liability for substitution and prescriber liability for failing to restrict substitution.²⁶

²⁰ R.C. 4729.38(B)(1)(a).

²¹ R.C. 4729.38(B)(1)(b).

²² R.C. 4729.38(B)(1)(a).

²³ R.C. 4729.38(B)(2) and (3).

²⁴ R.C. 4729.38(C)(1).

²⁵ R.C. 4729.38(C)(2).

²⁶ R.C. 4729.38(D) and (E).

Communication for interchangeable biological product substitution

The bill generally requires that not later than five business days after a pharmacist dispenses a drug for which an interchangeable biological product is available the pharmacist or someone designated by the pharmacist must communicate to the prescriber information identifying the specific biological product that was dispensed, including the name of the biological product and its manufacturer.²⁷ This applies regardless of whether a substitution is made.

When possible, the bill requires the communication to be conveyed by entering the information into a recordkeeping system that can reasonably be presumed to be electronically accessible to the prescriber, including any of the following:

- (1) An interoperable electronic medical records system;
- (2) An electronic records prescribing system;
- (3) An electronic pharmacy benefit management system;
- (4) An electronic pharmacy record system.²⁸

The bill provides that entering the complete information into one of the systems listed above is presumed to provide notice to the prescriber.²⁹ When it is not possible to communicate the information by using one of the systems listed above, communication of the information must be by telephone, facsimile, another form of electronic communication, or by any other prevailing means of communication.³⁰

The communication discussed above is not required when a biological product is dispensed by refilling a prescription and the product that is dispensed is the same product that was dispensed when the prescription was last filled or refilled.³¹

Prohibition

Under current law, it is a minor misdemeanor for a pharmacist to fail to comply with the law governing generic drug substitution. The bill adds a culpable mental state

²⁹ R.C. 4729.38(F)(3).

³¹ R.C. 4729.38(F)(1)(b).



²⁷ R.C. 4729.38(F)(1)(a).

²⁸ R.C. 4729.38(F)(2).

³⁰ R.C. 4729.38(F)(4).

specification³² under which a pharmacist is prohibited from *knowingly* failing to comply with (1) conditions that must be met for substitution to be authorized and (2) labeling requirements.³³ As under current law, violation of those provisions is a minor misdemeanor.34

Definition changes

The bill adds to the current definition of "dangerous drug" any drug that is a biological product, as defined in the bill. This makes all biological products subject to all provisions of the Pharmacy Law applicable to other dangerous drugs. "Dangerous drug" generally refers to prescription drugs.³⁵

HEALTH INSURANCE

Prior authorization – biological products

As part of authorizing substitution of interchangeable biological products, the bill updates Ohio Health Insurance Law as it pertains to health insurance prior authorization requirements by adding a corresponding provision for biological products to provisions addressing substitution of generic drugs. Under current law, prior authorization requirements are notification or approval requirements upon which coverage of a service, drug, or device is dependent. The requirements apply to health insuring corporations, sickness and accident insurers, multiple employer welfare arrangements, and the Department of Medicaid.

Current law stipulates that prior authorization requirements do not prohibit the substitution of any drug that has received a 12-month prior approval when there is a release of an FDA-approved comparable brand product or a generic counterpart of a brand product that is listed as therapeutically equivalent in the FDA's Orange Book publication.³⁶ The bill adds that the prior authorization requirements do not prohibit substitution when there is a release of an interchangeable biological product. The bill also clarifies that for both generic drugs and interchangeable biological products, any substitution

³³ R.C. 4729.38(G).

³⁴ R.C. 4729.99(A).

³² Under S.B. 361 of the 130th General Assembly, a new criminal offense must specify a culpable mental state, meaning the state of mind with which a person must act in order to be legally responsible for the offense. Although failing to comply with the law governing generic drug substitution is not a new offense, because the bill amends the law governing generic drug substitution generally, the bill specifies a culpable mental state.

³⁵ R.C. 4729.01(F)(4).

³⁶ R.C. 1751.72(B)(8), 3923.041(B)(8), and 5160.34(B)(8).

must be in accordance with Ohio's requirements pertaining to substitution (as discussed above).

Prior authorization deadlines – health care generally

The bill amends the deadlines imposed on health plan issuers related to the completion of a prior authorization review for a health care service, product, or drug. These prior authorization deadlines apply to health insuring corporations, sickness and accident insurers, multiple employer welfare arrangements, and the Department of Medicaid. Under current law, a health plan issuer has either 48 hours for urgent services or ten calendar days for all other services to complete a prior authorization review. Under current law, this period begins upon receipt of all information needed to process the prior authorization review by the health plan issuer. Under the bill, the period begins once a request for prior authorization is received by the health plan issuer. Additionally, the bill removes provisions relating to the provision of additional information should the prior authorization request be incomplete.³⁷

The bill also makes other technical changes to existing prior authorization provisions.

Exemption from health insuring corporation application review requirements

Overview

The bill exempts from the application review requirements under the Health Insuring Corporation Law health insuring corporations that cover individuals in the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program.

Under Ohio's Health Insuring Corporation Law, a health insuring corporation must obtain a certificate of authority from the Superintendent of Insurance to operate in Ohio. A health insuring corporation is a corporation that, under a contract, reimburses or arranges for health care services for enrollees through either an open network or closed network plan (a common type of health insuring corporation is an HMO).³⁸

Generally, the Superintendent is required to review each application submitted by an individual or entity seeing certification as a health insuring corporation. The Superintendent must then make findings as to whether the applicant has met certain criteria relating to the applicant's ability to provide adequate health care coverage to

³⁷ R.C. 1739.05, 1751.72, 3923.041, and 5160.34.

³⁸ R.C. 1751.01(O) and 1751.02, not in the bill.

enrollees. Some of the criteria include whether the applicant has demonstrated the ability to ensure that:

(1) The covered health care services are provided to enrollees;

(2) Enrollees have access to qualified health care providers.³⁹

Exemptions

The Health Insuring Corporation Law exempts from the review requirements health insuring corporations that cover (1) solely Medicare recipients, (2) solely Medicaid beneficiaries, or (3) both.

The bill adds to these exemptions health insuring corporations that cover solely federal employees and other eligible individuals under the FEHB Program.⁴⁰ The FEHB Program provides health care coverage to federal employees, annuitants, and their families.⁴¹ Therefore, under the bill, the Superintendent is not required to review and make findings regarding an application if the applicant only provides health care coverage to federal employees, annuitants, and their families under the FEHB Program.

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPERVISION AGREEMENTS

Delayed expiration

Current law requires each physician assistant to enter into a supervision agreement with a physician. A supervision agreement expires two years after becoming effective.⁴² For supervision agreements that are set to expire on January 31, 2017, the bill delays the expiration by one year so that they are valid until February 1, 2018. The bill permits those agreements to be renewed beginning August 1, 2017.⁴³

⁴³ Section 3.

³⁹ R.C. 1751.04(A).

⁴⁰ R.C. 1751.04(D).

⁴¹ U.S. Office of Personnel Management, *The Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program*, <u>https://www.opm.gov/healthcare-insurance/healthcare/</u> (accessed December 4, 2016).

⁴² R.C. 4730.19, not in the bill.

HISTORY

ACTION DATE 04-04-16 Introduced Reported, H. Health & Aging 05-05-16 Passed House (96-0) Reported, S. Health & Human Services 05-11-16 11-30-16

H0505-RS-131.docx/emr

