

# **Ohio Legislative Service Commission**

## **Bill Analysis**

Holly Cantrell Gilman

### Sub. H.B. 410\*

131st General Assembly (As Reported by S. Education)

**Reps.** Rezabek and Hayes, Brenner, Blessing, Henne, Manning, Patmon, Amstutz, Anielski, Antonio, Arndt, Baker, Barnes, Boyd, Grossman, McClain, Ryan, Sheehy, Slaby, R. Smith, Sweeney, Young, Rosenberger

### **BILL SUMMARY**

### "Habitual" and "chronic" truancy

- Changes the threshold for "habitual truancy" from a specified number of days to a specified number of hours.
- Eliminates the term "chronic truant" and, instead, provides that a child of compulsory school age who has been adjudicated an habitual truant who violates the court order regarding that adjudication may be further adjudicated a "delinquent child."

## Prohibition on suspension or expulsion for truancy

 Prohibits a school district or school from suspending, expelling, or removing a student from school solely on the basis of a student's unexcused absences and removes "excessive truancy" from the specifications for a school district's zero tolerance policy for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior.

## District and school policies on addressing truancy

 Modifies the components of the required policy on addressing and ameliorating student absences and requires the establishment of an absence intervention team for each student who is absent from school for a number of days that exceeds the threshold for an habitual truant.

<sup>\*</sup> This analysis was prepared before the report of the Senate Education Committee appeared in the Senate Journal. Note that the list of co-sponsors and the legislative history may be incomplete.

- Requires the attendance officer to notify a student's parent, guardian, or custodian in the event the student is absent with or without legitimate excuse for 38 or more hours in one school month or 65 hours in a school year.
- Requires each absence intervention plan to state that the attendance officer must file
  a complaint not later than 61 days after the date the plan was implemented, if the
  child has refused to participate in, or failed to make satisfactory progress on, the
  intervention plan or an alternative to adjudication.
- Requires a school district or school to (1) make at least three meaningful, good faith
  attempts to secure participation of the student's parent, guardian, custodian,
  guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian within the seven school days allotted to
  forming an absence intervention team and (2) investigate whether failure to respond
  to those attempts triggers mandatory reporting to child protective services.
- Requires each school district and school to report to the Department of Education
  the occurrence of certain triggering events with respect to a student's absences,
  including whenever a child has received enough unexcused absences that the child
  is considered an habitual truant.
- With specified exceptions, generally requires a complaint to be filed in juvenile court
  against a student (and against any person who fails to cause the child's attendance at
  school) on the 61st day after the implementation of an absence intervention plan,
  provided that the school district made meaningful attempts to reengage the student
  and the student refused to participate or failed to make satisfactory progress, as
  determined by the team.

### Exemption for district with low chronic absentism

• Provides an exemption from the requirement to assign habitually truant students to an absence intervention team for a school district with a chronic absenteeism percentage that is less than 10%.

## Juvenile court complaints

- Requires the juvenile court, upon the filing of a complaint that a child is unruly based on the child's habitual truancy, to consider an alternative to adjudication and provides that the court must consider the complaint only as a matter of last resort.
- Requires the juvenile court to provide notice of any adjudication of an unruly child
  for being an habitual truant or adjudication of a delinquent child for violating a
  court order regarding the child's adjudication as an unruly child for being an

habitual truant to the school district and school in which the child was enrolled at the time of filing the complaint.

 Requires the juvenile court, when submitting its annual report, to specify the number of children placed in alternatives to adjudication, the number who successfully complete those programs, and the number who fail to complete those programs and were therefore adjudicated unruly.

### State Board of Education model policy on absence intervention

 Requires the State Board of Education to develop a model policy for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior, including excessive absences, that stresses preventative strategies and alternatives to suspension or expulsion, for use by schools in complying with the modified requirements.

### Consequences for failure to send a child to school

- Clarifies that the parent, guardian, or custodian of an adjudicated truant child must provide a surety bond in the sum of not more than \$500 as required by the court.
- Specifies that an act that contributes to an adjudication of a child as a delinquent child because of the violation of a court order with respect to truancy is a first degree misdemeanor.

#### Affirmative defense

• Permits the defendant of an habitual truancy complaint to assert as an affirmative defense the fact that the student did participate in or made satisfactory progress on the absence intervention plan or other alternatives to adjudication.

## **Out-of-school suspensions**

- Permits a school district board of education to allow a student to complete any classroom assignments missed because of a suspension.
- Prohibits a school district from applying any remaining part or all of a suspension to
  the following school year and instead permits the superintendent to require the
  student to participate in community service or alternative consequence for the
  number of hours equal to time left on the suspension.

### Updated policy on zero tolerance

- Requires a school district to establish an updated policy of zero tolerance that provides tiered responses for violent and disruptive behavior based upon the nature and severity of the behavior.
- Requires the updated policy of zero tolerance to provide that to the extent practicable out-of-school suspensions and expulsions may be imposed only when the student's physical presence poses a continuing physical danger to the health and safety of others.

### Multidisciplinary truancy teams; pilot program

- Requires the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council to establish a pilot program that creates a multidisciplinary truancy team approach in which school districts may participate in lieu of some of the requirements related to the absence intervention plan process.
- Requires the Joint Education Oversight Committee, working in consultation with the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council, to report in writing to the General Assembly a detailed analysis of the pilot program.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

"Habitual" and "chronic" truancy	5
Conforming changes	
Prohibition on suspension or expulsion for truancy	7
School policies on absences	7
New or amended policy required	7
Notice of excessive absences	
Absence intervention team	8
Parental engagement with absence intervention process	9
School district reporting requirements	10
Exemption for school districts with low chronic absenteeism	10
Filing of complaint in juvenile court	
Extension and tolling of plan to account for summer months	12
Alternatives to adjudication	
Notice of adjudication	12
Annual report by juvenile court	
State Board of Education model policy	
Consequences for failure to send a child to school	13
Prosecutorial burden of proof; affirmative defense	
Make-up work after suspension	
Tolling of suspensions	14
Updated policy on zero tolerance	
Multidisciplinary truancy team; pilot program	
Application process; partnering entities	

Exemption from certain provisions of the bill for participating teams	16
Duties of each participating multidisciplinary truancy team	17
Team record-keeping requirements	
Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council record-keeping requirements	
Report to the General Assembly	
Members of team are school officials for purposes of FERPA	
Background: compulsory school age and attendance requirements	

#### **CONTENT AND OPERATION**

### "Habitual" and "chronic" truancy

Continuing law requires any child of compulsory school age residing in the state to attend a public or private school that meets the minimum education standards established by the State Board of Education, unless the child is legitimately excused from attendance including being excused for home instruction (see "Background: compulsory school age and attendance requirements" below). A child who is not excused and does not attend school as required is truant and may face school disciplinary consequences or may be prosecuted under the juvenile justice system, if the truancy persists. The law imposes its greatest concern over a student's unexcused absences when they rise to the level of "habitual" or "chronic" truancy. The bill revises the statutory definition of "habitual" truancy and removes the statutory definition of "chronic" truancy.

Under the bill, "habitual truant" means any child of compulsory school age who is absent without legitimate excuse for 30 or more consecutive hours, 42 or more hours in one school month, or 72 or more hours in a school year. Currently, an "habitual truant" is one who is absent for five or more consecutive school days, seven or more school days in one month, or 12 or more school days in a school year.

Under current law, "chronic truant" means any child of compulsory school age who is absent without legitimate excuse for seven or more consecutive school days, ten or more school days in one school month, or 15 or more school days in a school year. The bill removes that specification and instead provides that a child who has been adjudicated an habitual truant who violates a court order regarding that adjudication may further be adjudicated a delinquent child.<sup>2</sup>

### Conforming changes

The bill also makes the following conforming changes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R.C. 2152.02.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R.C. 2151.011(A)(18).

- Removes from the definition of "unruly child" any child who is an habitual truant from school and who previously has not been adjudicated an unruly child for being an habitual truant and, instead, retains in that definition simply "any child who is an habitual truant from school";3
- Removes other references to an habitual truant who previously has been adjudicated an unruly child for being an habitual truant;<sup>4</sup>
- Permits a juvenile court to address a child's truancy by issuing an order requiring the child not to be absent without legitimate excuse for 30 or more consecutive hours, 42 or more hours in a school month, or 72 hours in a school year rather than for a specified number of days;<sup>5</sup>
- Requires a juvenile court, when adjudicating a child unruly for truancy, to warn the parent, guardian, or custodian that the child's violation of a court order regarding the child's designation as an unruly child for being an habitual truant may result in a criminal charge against the parent, guardian, or custodian;<sup>6</sup>
- Regarding an excused absence for the sole purpose of traveling out of state to participate in an enrichment activity, modifies the limit from a maximum of four days per school year to a maximum of 24 hours per school year that the student's school is open for instruction;<sup>7</sup>
- Regarding procedures when a student of compulsory school age withdraws from school for reasons other than change of address, requires school officials to notify the child and the child's parent, guardian, or custodian and the Registrar of Motor Vehicles when the child has been absent more than 60 consecutive hours (rather than ten consecutive days under current law) in a single month or 90 hours (rather than 15 total days under current law) in a school year.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R.C. 2151.022(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> R.C. 2151.022(B), 2151.311(C)(1)(b)(i), 2151.35(A)(1), 2152.02(F)(4), 2152.021, 2152.19(A)(7)(a), (b) and (E)(1), and 2152.26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R.C. 2151.354(C)(1)(e) and 2152.19(A)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> R.C. 2151.354(C)(2)(c) and 3321.38(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> R.C. 3321.041.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> R.C. 3321.13 and. 4510.32.

### Prohibition on suspension or expulsion for truancy

Effective July 1, 2017, the bill expressly prohibits any public school from suspending, expelling, or removing a student from school solely on the basis of the student's unexcused absences.<sup>9</sup> Accordingly, the bill also modifies the requirement that each school district have a policy of zero tolerance for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior by removing the words "excessive truancy" from that provision.<sup>10</sup>

### School policies on absences

#### New or amended policy required

The bill requires each school district, educational service center, community school, and STEM school, beginning with the 2017-2018 school year, to adopt a new or amended policy to guide employees in addressing and ameliorating student absences that conforms to the bill's specifications. That policy *must* include as an intervention strategy all of the actions listed below "if applicable." Under current law, the list of interventions is permissive. The bill's listed actions are:

- (1) Providing a truancy intervention plan for any student who is absent from school in an amount that surpasses the threshold for an habitual truant;
  - (2) Providing counseling for an habitual truant (same as current law);
- (3) Requesting or requiring a parent, guardian, or custodian to attend a parental involvement program (same as current law);
- (4) Requesting or requiring a parent, guardian, or custodian to attend truancy prevention mediation programs (same as current law);
  - (5) Notification of the Registrar of Motor Vehicles (same as current law); and
  - (6) Taking legal action (same as current law).

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  R.C. 3321.191(B)(1) to (6) and (C). The conditions triggering an intervention plan for a student are in R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(a).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> R.C. 3313.668. Conforming changes in R.C. 3313.66, 3314.03(A)(11)(d), 3321.191(F), 3326.11, and 3328.24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> R.C. 3313.534.

The bill also removes a requirement that each school district incorporate into the policy as an intervention strategy the assignment of an habitual truant to an alternative school if an alternative school has been established.<sup>12</sup>

#### Notice of excessive absences

The bill requires that the attendance officer of a public school notify a child's parent, guardian, or custodian if the child is absent *with or without* legitimate excuse from the public school the child is supposed to attend for 38 or more hours in one school month, or 65 or more hours in a school year. That notice must be made, in writing, within seven days after the date of the absence that triggered the notice requirement. At that time notice is made, the bill also expressly permits the school to take any appropriate action as an intervention strategy contained in the school's new or amended policy.<sup>13</sup>

#### Absence intervention team

Under the bill, within ten days after the absences of a student surpass the threshold for an habitual truant, the school principal or chief administrator or the district superintendent must assign the student to an absence intervention team. <sup>14</sup> Within 14 school days after the assignment, the team must develop an intervention plan for that student in an effort to reduce or eliminate further absences. As part of the absence intervention plan, the district or school may, in its discretion, contact the appropriate juvenile court and ask to have the student informally enrolled in the court's alternative to adjudication (see "**Alternatives to adjudication**" below). If a district or school chooses to have students informally enrolled in the alternative to adjudication, the district or school must develop a written policy regarding the use of, and selection process for, that program to ensure fairness. <sup>15</sup>

The bill permits a school principal or chief administrator to establish an absence intervention team or series of teams and requires a district superintendent, or the superintendent's designee, to establish an absence intervention team to be used by any district schools that do not establish their own absence intervention teams. Membership of each absence intervention team may vary based on the needs of each individual student, but must include: (1) a representative from the child's school district or school, (2) another representative from the child's school district or school who knows the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> R.C. 3321.191(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> R.C. 3321.19(D).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(a) and (b).

child, and (3) the child's parent (or parent's designee), or the child's guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian. The team also may include a school psychologist, counselor, or social worker, or a representative of a public or nonprofit agency designed to assist students and families in reducing absences.<sup>16</sup>

The bill specifies that each intervention plan must vary based on the individual needs of the student, but requires each plan to state that the attendance officer must file a complaint not later than 61 days after the date the plan was implemented, if the child has refused to participate in, or failed to make satisfactory progress on, the intervention plan or an alternative to adjudication. Furthermore, the bill requires, within seven days after the development of the plan, the school district or school to make reasonable efforts to provide the student's parent, guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian with written notice of the plan.<sup>17</sup>

The bill requires the State Board of Education to develop a format for parental permission to ensure compliance with the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, related federal regulations, and state law on student privacy for use of each absence intervention team.<sup>18</sup>

The bill also expressly permits school districts and schools to consult or partner with public and nonprofit agencies to provide assistance as appropriate to students and their families in reducing absences, even outside the operation of an absence intervention team.<sup>19</sup>

#### Parental engagement with absence intervention process

The bill requires the superintendent of the school district or principal or chief administrator of the school to select the members of an absence intervention team within seven school days of the triggering absence and requires at least three meaningful, good faith attempts to secure participation of the student's parent, guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian within that time period.<sup>20</sup> The school district is required to inform the parent of the parent's right to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(e).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(c) and (d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(3). See R.C. 3319.321 and 20 United States Code 1232g.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> R.C. 3321.191(D).

appear by designee if the parent responds to the attempts to secure participation but is unable to participate for any reason.<sup>21</sup>

In the event that the parent, guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian fails to respond, the bill requires the school district to: (1) investigate whether the failure to respond triggers mandatory reporting to the public childrens services agency for the county in which the child resides, and (2) instruct the absence intervention team to develop a plan for the child without the child's parent, guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian.<sup>22</sup>

### School district reporting requirements

The bill requires each school district and school to report to the Department of Education, as soon as practicable, and in a format and manner determined by the Department, any of the following occurrences:

- (1) When a student has been absent for 38 or more hours in one school month, or 65 or more hours in a school year and the school district sends notice of that fact to the student's parent, guardian, or custodian;
- (2) When a child of compulsory school age has been absent without legitimate excuse the requisite number of hours to classify that child as an habitual truant;
- (3) When a child has been adjudicated an unruly child for being an habitual truant violates the court order regarding that adjudication; and
  - (4) When an absence intervention plan has been implemented for a child.

This reporting requirement is effective beginning with the 2017-2018 school year. $^{23}$ 

## **Exemption for school districts with low chronic absenteeism**

In the case of a school district with a chronic absenteeism percentage that is less than 10% as reported on the district's most recent state report card, the bill exempts the school district (and the school buildings within that district) from the requirement to assign habitually truant students to an absence intervention team. Instead, those school districts and school buildings must implement any appropriate intervention strategies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> R.C. 3321.191(E).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(e).

contained in the new or amended policy the district adopts. If those intervention strategies fail, within 61 days after their implementation, then the district must follow the same juvenile complaint process and timelines as all other districts.<sup>24</sup>

### Filing of complaint in juvenile court

Under current law, once a child's absences surpass the threshold for an habitual truant, the district or school must take an appropriate action under its absence policy, or it must file a complaint in the county juvenile court alleging that the child is unruly. The bill, on the other hand, specifies that the attendance officer must file a complaint in juvenile court against a student on the 61st day after the implementation of an absence intervention plan or other intervention strategies, provided that all of the following apply:

- (1) The student was absent without legitimate excuse from the public school the child is supposed to attend for 30 or more consecutive hours, 42 or more hours in one school month, or 72 or more hours in a school year;
- (2) The school district or school has made meaningful attempts to reengage the student through the absence intervention plan and any offered alternatives to adjudication;
- (3) The student has refused to participate in or failed to make satisfactory progress on the plan, as determined by the absence intervention team, or any offered alternative to adjudication.

The bill also requires, upon the failure of the parent, guardian, or other person having care of the child to cause the child's attendance at school, and where the child is considered an habitual truant, the board of education to, file a complaint jointly against the child and the parent, guardian, or other person having care of the child, in accordance with these same timelines and conditions.

The bill also requires the attendance officer to file a complaint against a student who, at any time during the implementation phase of the absence intervention plan, is absent without legitimate excuse 30 or more consecutive hours or 42 or more hours in one school month, unless the absence intervention team has determined that the student has made substantial progress on the absence intervention plan.<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> R.C. 3321.16(B)(1) and 3321.19(D).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> R.C. 3321.19(E).

#### Extension and tolling of plan to account for summer months

The school district, in its discretion, may extend the implementation of the plan and delay the filing of a complaint for an additional 30 days from the first day of the instruction of the next school year in the event that the 61st day after the implementation of the absence intervention plan falls on a day during the summer months. Furthermore, in the event that a student becomes habitually truant within 21 school days prior to the last day of instruction of a school year, the bill permits the school district or school to either (1) assign one school official to work with the child's parent, guardian, custodian, guardian ad litem, or temporary custodian to develop an absence intervention plan during the summer, or (2) toll the time periods to accommodate the summer months and reconvene the absence intervention process upon the first day of instruction of the next school year. If the school district or school chooses to develop a plan during the summer, the plan must be implemented not later than seven days prior to the first day of instruction of the next school year.<sup>27</sup>

### Alternatives to adjudication

Under the bill, when a complaint is filed alleging that a child is an unruly child for being an habitual truant the court must consider an alternative to adjudication, including actions that constitute a method to divert the child from the juvenile court system, using the Rules of Juvenile Procedure, or by any other means if such an alternative is available to the court, provided the child has not already participated or failed to complete one of the available alternatives. The bill provides that the court must consider the complaint only as a matter of last resort.<sup>28</sup>

### Notice of adjudication

Under the bill, not later than ten days after a child is adjudicated an unruly child for being an habitual truant or adjudicated a delinquent child for violating a court order regarding the child's adjudication as an unruly child for being an habitual truant, the court must provide notice of that fact to the school district in which the child is entitled to attend school and to the school in which the child was enrolled at the time of the filing of the complaint.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> R.C. 2151.354(C)(2)(d) and 2152.19(E)(2).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> R.C. 3321.16(B)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> R.C. 3321.191(C)(2)(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> R.C. 2151.27(G). See also division (F) of that section.

### Annual report by juvenile court

Under continuing law, the juvenile court must prepare an annual report covering the preceding calendar year showing the number and kinds of cases that have come before it, the disposition of the cases, and any other data pertaining to the work of the court that the juvenile judge directs. The bill provides that the report also must specify the number of children placed in court ordered diversion, the number who successfully completed diversion programs, and the number who failed to complete the programs and were adjudicated unruly. In addition to filing a copy of the report with the board of county commissioners, the bill requires one to be filed with the Supreme Court.<sup>30</sup>

### State Board of Education model policy

The bill requires the State Board of Education, not later than 90 days after the bill's effective date, to develop a model policy for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior, including excessive absences, that stresses preventative strategies and alternatives to suspension or expulsion. The model policy must be provided to each school district, community school, STEM school, and college-preparatory boarding school to aid in compliance with the requirements of the intervention program established by the bill. Not later than 180 days after the bill's effective date, the Department of Education must develop materials to assist school districts in providing teacher and staff training on the implementation of the strategies included in the model policy.<sup>31</sup> A separate provision of the bill also requires the State Board to develop a model disciplinary policy by February 28, 2017. It appears that these are duplicative provisions. (See "**Updated policy on zero tolerance**" below.)

## Consequences for failure to send a child to school

The bill specifically prohibits any person, including a parent, guardian, or other custodian of a child from acting in a way that contributes to an adjudication of the child as a delinquent child based on the child's violation of a court order adjudicating the child an unruly child for being an habitual truant. Current law already prohibits a person from acting in a way tending to cause a child to become an unruly or delinquent child. Both of these violations are first degree misdemeanors.<sup>32</sup>

The bill also clarifies that the parent, guardian, or custodian of an adjudicated truant child must provide a surety bond in the sum of not more than \$500 as required

<sup>32</sup> R.C. 2919.24.



<sup>30</sup> R.C. 2151.18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Section 3. Currently, there are no college-preparatory boarding schools, as authorized in R.C. Chapter 3328., operating in the state.

by the juvenile court.<sup>33</sup> Currently, there appears to be a conflict between two divisions of the same section of law.

### Prosecutorial burden of proof; affirmative defense

The bill clarifies that when prosecuting a truancy complaint the prosecutor must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that a child is of compulsory school age and was absent without legitimate excuse for 30 or more consecutive hours, 42 or more hours in one school month, or 72 or more hours in a school year.<sup>34</sup> The bill permits the defendant of a habitual truancy complaint to assert as an affirmative defense the fact that the student did participate in or made satisfactory progress on the absence intervention plan or other alternatives to adjudication.<sup>35</sup>

### **Suspensions**

#### Make-up work after suspension

The bill permits a school district board of education to allow a student who is suspended to complete any classroom assignments missed because of that suspension.<sup>36</sup>

#### **Tolling of suspensions**

The bill provides that, if there are less than ten school days remaining in the school year when an out-of-school suspension is imposed, the district superintendent must not apply the remaining period of the suspension to the following school year. Instead, the superintendent is permitted to require the student to participate in a community service program or other alternative consequence for a number of hours equal to the remaining part of the period of the suspension. If the superintendent does so, the student must be required to begin the community service or alternative consequence during the first full week day of summer break. Each school district, in its discretion, may develop an appropriate list of alternative consequences. If a student fails to complete the community service or the assigned alternative consequence, the school district may determine the next course of action; however, that may not include requiring the student to serve the remaining time at the beginning of the following school year.

<sup>36</sup> R.C. 3313.66.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> R.C. 3321.38(D).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> R.C. 2151.27(H).

<sup>35</sup> R.C. 2151.27(H).

The bill appears to retain a board of education's discretion to apply an "expulsion" into the following school year.<sup>37</sup>

Under current law, the superintendent may apply any remaining part or all of the period of any suspension to the following school year if there are less than ten days left in the current school year.

### Updated policy on zero tolerance

The bill requires, not later than July 1, 2017, the board of education of each school district to adopt an updated policy of zero tolerance for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior that provides that, to the extent practicable, out-of-school suspensions and expulsions may be imposed only when the student's physical presence poses a continuing physical danger to the health and safety of other students and school personnel, including bringing a firearm or knife on school grounds, committing a criminal offense that results in serious physical harm to persons or property while on school grounds, or for making a bomb threat. The updated policy also must provide that an out-of-school suspension or expulsion for behavior that is disruptive or inappropriate, but where the student's physical presence does not pose a continuing physical danger to the health and safety of others, are available only as a penalty of last resort and only where it is impracticable under the circumstances to impose a disciplinary action that does not remove the student from the school. The policy also must provide for alternative instruction services to a student.

Under the bill, the State Board of Education, not later than February 28, 2017, must develop a model disciplinary policy, consistent with the provisions of this bill, for violent, disruptive, or inappropriate behavior that stresses preventive strategies and alternatives to suspension and expulsion. Additionally, the Department of Education, not later than May 31, 2017, must: (1) provide to each school district a copy of the model policy adopted by the State Board, and (2) develop materials to assist school districts in providing teacher and staff training on the implementation of the strategies included in that policy.

## Multidisciplinary truancy team; pilot program

### Application process; partnering entities

For the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years only, the bill requires the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council to establish a pilot program for multidisciplinary truancy teams. The pilot program must include at least two school

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> R.C. 3313.661.

districts from urban counties, at least one school district from a suburban or mid-sized county, and at least one school district from a rural county.<sup>38</sup>

In order to participate in the pilot program, a school district must submit an application to the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council and partner with at least one of the following entities:

- (1) The county family and children first council for the county in which the district is located;
- (2) The board of county commissioners of the county in which the district is located;
- (3) The mayor of the municipal corporation with the largest population in which the school district is situated;
- (4) The executive director of a non-profit agency that provides services to children and families;
- (5) The educational service center with which the school district has a contract for services.

The application must contain signatures from representatives of the school district and each entity who partners with the school district. It also must outline how the school district tracks and monitors attendance and late arrivals; with a specific emphasis on how often attendance is taken in any one school day.<sup>39</sup>

### Exemption from certain provisions of the bill for participating teams

For the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years only, and notwithstanding anything contrary in the Revised Code, the bill provides that any participating school district or educational service center and any school located in a participating school district is considered to have satisfied the requirements related to the creation and implementation of an absence intervention team, regardless of whether it has done so. The bill clarifies that a participating district, service center, or school retains the obligation to comply with all other provisions not specifically excluded by the pilot program.<sup>40</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Section 4(H).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Section 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Section 4(A).

### Duties of each participating multidisciplinary truancy team

The bill requires the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council to act as the screening body and approve teams to participate in the program. Each team must, on a case-by-case basis, consist of any of the following members the team determines necessary and appropriate, who must be selected by the district boards and governing boards that organize the team:

- (1) Advocates for children and parents;
- (2) Local representatives from the public school system;
- (3) Local representatives from the child welfare system;
- (4) Local representatives from the mental health and addiction services system;
- (5) Local representatives from the youth services agencies;
- (6) A nurse or other medical professional employed by the school district;
- (7) A representative from either the law enforcement agency or the juvenile court system which has jurisdiction over the children within that district.

When assessing a child referred to the team and developing a plan for that child, the team also shall consist of the child, the child's parent or guardian or other person having care of the child, representatives from the child's school who know the child, and additional members who are needed to address the particularized needs of the child.

Under the program, the board of education of the school district or governing board of the educational service center, must, upon failure of the parent, guardian, or other person having care of the child to cause the child's attendance at school, first take any appropriate action as an intervention strategy contained in the policy developed by the board pursuant to continuing law. If the child continues to be absent from school after the school district engages in multiple interventions, the school must refer the child to the multidisciplinary truancy team. The intervention team must then engage in all of the following:

- (1) Assess each child referred to the team to identify the underlying causes of the child's truancy;
- (2) Develop a plan to address barriers to school attendance that exist for each child referred to the team;

(3) If at least 60 days have elapsed since the child was referred to the team and the child is still not attending school, the team may direct the attendance officer to file a juvenile court complaint based on habitual truancy.<sup>41</sup>

#### Team record-keeping requirements

The bill requires each multidisciplinary truancy team to collect and submit, in the form and manner prescribed by the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council, the following data on children who are not attending school:

- (1) Demographic information;
- (2) Reasons for truancy;
- (3) Interventions identified by the team;
- (4) The student's participation in interventions identified by the team;
- (5) The student's attendance at school during or after the interventions are applied;
  - (6) The success rate of those interventions;
  - (7) The number of parents or guardians who participated in the team process;
- (8) The number of parents or guardians who identified a designee to participate on their behalf;
  - (9) The number of parents or guardians who refused all participation;
  - (10) The number of complaints filed in juvenile court for habitual truancy;
- (11) Any other information determined useful and agreed upon by the school district and the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council, in consultation with the Joint Education Oversight Committee.<sup>42</sup>

#### Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council record-keeping requirements

The bill requires the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council to collect data on the results of the pilot program, including the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Secton 4(B).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Section 4(C), (D), and (E).

- (1) The number of children referred to the juvenile court before the pilot program was initiated;
- (2) The number of children referred to the multidisciplinary truancy intervention teams;
  - (3) The reasons for truancy, including common issues identified;
  - (4) The interventions utilized and the success of those interventions;
- (5) The number of children who are referred to the team, the number who successfully reengage with the school, and the number referred to the juvenile court by the team;
- (6) Any other data determined useful by the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council that was collected by the participating school district.<sup>43</sup>

### Report to the General Assembly

Not later than October 31, 2019, the Joint Education Oversight Committee, working in consultation with the Ohio Family and Children First Cabinet Council, must report in writing to the chairpersons and ranking minority members of the education committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate a detailed analysis of the success or failure of the pilot program for the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years. The report must account for the differences in each participating school district's method of tracking and monitoring attendance and late arrivals, and draw conclusions from that data. The report also must include recommendations for whether to implement the pilot program on a statewide basis in place of the bill's absence intervention plan process described under "School policies on absences" above 44

### Members of team are school officials for purposes of FERPA

The bill expressly states that each member of a multidisciplinary truancy team must be considered a school official with a legitimate educational interest in the amelioration of the student's truancy for purposes of compliance with and treatment under state law and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 and related provisions of the Code of Federal Regulations.<sup>45</sup>

-19-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Section 4(I).



 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$  Section 4(F).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Section 4(G).

### Background: compulsory school age and attendance requirements

Ohio law requires all children between the ages of 6 and 18 years old residing in the state to attend a public or private school that meets the minimum education standards prescribed by the State Board of Education. In addition, any child under six years old who has enrolled in kindergarten must attend school unless formally withdrawn from school. Except in cases where a child has been properly excused from attendance, including excused absence for instruction at home, the child's parent, guardian, or custodian must see that the child attends school. School districts, community schools, and STEM schools must maintain attendance records and must take actions to enforce the compulsory attendance laws.<sup>47</sup>

Each school district, community school, and STEM school must employ an attendance officer or obtain the services of an attendance officer of an educational service center.<sup>48</sup> The attendance officer may investigate any case of nonattendance at school and may take such action as the superintendent of schools (or chief administrative officer of a community school or STEM school) directs or as the attendance officer deems proper in the absence of specific instruction.<sup>49</sup> The attendance officer is required to initiate proceedings against any officer, parent, guardian, custodian, or other person violating laws relating to compulsory education.<sup>50</sup>

Failure of a parent, guardian, or custodian to send a child of compulsory school age to school may result in a fine of not more than \$500 or an order to perform community service of not more than 70 hours. The law also states that if a juvenile court adjudicates the child an unruly or delinquent child for truancy, the parent, guardian, or custodian may also be charged with contributing to the nonsupport of a dependent child or contributing to the unruliness or delinquency of a child.<sup>51</sup> The court also may require the parent to participate in mediation and community service programs.<sup>52</sup> Finally, the court may require a person convicted of failure to send a child to school to provide a surety bond in an amount of not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> R.C. 2151.354(C)(2).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> R.C. 3321.01, 3321.04, and 3321.07, none in the bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See R.C. 3314.03(A)(11)(d) and 3326.11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> R.C. 3321.14, not in the bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> R.C. 3321.16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> R.C. 3321.18, not in the bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> R.C. 3321.38(A) and 3321.99, latter section not in the bill.

more than \$500, conditioned that the person will cause the child to attend as required by law.53

## **HISTORY**

ACTION	DATE
Introduced	12-09-15
Reported, H. Education	04-20-16
Passed House (95-1)	05-04-16
Reported, S. Education	

H0410-RS-131.docx/emr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> R.C. 3321.38(A).

