



OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Jean J. Botomogno

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

Bill: S.B. 226 of the 132nd G.A.

Status: As Passed by the House

Sponsor: Sen. Bacon

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: Yes

Subject: Permanent three-day sales tax holiday in August, permitted purposes for certain property tax levies, and to declare an emergency

State & Local Fiscal Highlights

STATE FUND	FY 2019	FY 2020	FUTURE YEARS
General Revenue Fund			
Revenues	- 0 -	Loss of \$16.3 million	Losses, likely to grow each year
Local Government and Public Library Fund (counties, municipalities, townships, and public libraries)			
Revenues	- 0 -	Loss of \$0.6 million	Losses, likely to grow each year
Counties and transit authorities			
Revenues	- 0 -	Loss of \$4.1 million	Losses, likely to grow each year

Note: The state fiscal year is July 1 through June 30. For example, FY 2018 is July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018.

- The bill creates a permanent three-day sales tax holiday, starting with the first Friday in August of each year and exempts sales of clothing (up to \$75 per item), school supplies (up to \$20 per item), and school instructional materials (up to \$20 per item).
- The bill reduces the sales and use tax base, and thus decreases state sales tax revenue and receipts from local permissive county and transit authority sales taxes. Due to past legislation that established similar, but temporary sales tax holidays, the bill would start affecting sales tax revenue with the sales tax holiday of August 2019 (FY 2020).
- The state sales tax revenue loss would be shared by the General Revenue Fund (GRF, 96.68%), the Local Government Fund (LGF, 1.66%), and the Public Library Fund (PLF, 1.66%). Funds deposited into the LGF and PLF are distributed to counties, municipalities, townships, and public libraries according to statutory formulas and decisions by county budget commissions.
- The bill allows county school financing districts to put levies on the ballot for school safety and security and mental health services. If approved, the levies would raise additional property tax revenues to fund the specified services.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

Sales tax holiday

The bill permanently exempts from the sales tax sales occurring on the first Friday in August of each year, and the following Saturday and Sunday, of the following items: clothing (up to \$75 per item), school supplies (up to \$20 per item), and school instructional materials (up to \$20 per item). Temporary sales tax holidays were held in 2015 (S.B. 243 of the 130th General Assembly), 2016 (S.B. 264 of the 131st General Assembly), and 2017 (S.B. 9 of the 132nd General Assembly). H.B. 49 (the operating budget act for the current biennium) enacted a temporary sales tax holiday in August 2018. The first revenue effects from S.B. 226 would thus occur in August 2019, i.e., in FY 2020.

The bill is estimated to reduce state revenue from the sales and use tax by up to \$16.9 million in FY 2020. Under permanent law, the GRF would receive 96.68% of the revenue from the state sales and use tax, while 1.66% of the receipts are transferred to the Local Government Fund (LGF, Fund 7069) and an identical share to the Public Library Fund (PLF, Fund 7065); funds in the LGF and PLF are for distribution to counties, municipalities, townships, and public libraries. Thus, sales tax revenue to the GRF would decline by up to \$16.3 million in FY 2020, and distributions to the LGF and PLF would be reduced by a total of about \$0.6 million.

The bill will also reduce the tax base for permissive county and transit authority sales taxes. Those local permissive taxes share the state sales and use tax base. The potential revenue loss to local governments from local sales taxes, at approximately 24.5% of state sales tax revenues, would be up to \$4.1 million. Thus, total revenue reductions for local governments, including reduced LGF and PLF distributions, may be up to \$4.7 million. Revenue losses to the state and local governments in years beyond FY 2020 are likely to be higher, depending on expenditures on items exempted by the bill.

The estimates are based on data from surveys from the National Retail Federation (NRF) on back-to-school and back-to-college shopping for 2017, and also on personal consumption expenditures from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Estimated Ohio spending was obtained by adjusting national data using an index of Midwest spending patterns (relative to national average spending) from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (Consumer Expenditure Survey for 2016). This Fiscal Note utilizes school enrollment data by age from the U.S. Census Bureau (American Community Survey, 2016) both for K-12 and college-age students; however, please note that the sale of tax-free items is not limited to households with school-age or college-age children. Please note that back-to-school expenditures in 2017 were projected forward and other adjustments were made to estimate such spending in 2019.

Consumers may opt to shift their purchases by delaying or accelerating their purchases into the sales tax holiday period. The estimates include temporal substitution effects of up to two weeks (based on previous NRF surveys on the timing of back-to-

school purchases). If the temporal substitution is less, then the revenue loss from the bill would be less than estimated. If these effects are larger than presumed, the revenue loss could be greater. However, LSC expects most of these potential effects to fall within the holiday month.

As noted above, most additional sales during the tax holiday weekend will be delayed or accelerated purchases to take advantage of the exemption. However, other economic factors are at play. They include price and income substitution effects, cross-border sales effects, and a shift of some sales from remote to store sales during the holiday weekend. The lack of precise empirical data regarding the magnitude of such factors makes this fiscal analysis more complex, and revenue loss estimates may be somewhat overstated, though the bill will result in a fiscal loss of state and local government sales tax revenue.

Price and substitution effects

The temporary sales tax exemption would effectively decrease prices of the tax-exempt items by a percentage equal to the combined state and local sales tax rates. A share of those savings will result in added purchases. Also, lower prices enhance consumer "real" income or purchasing power. This additional income from the sales tax exemption is likely to be spent on both taxable and nontaxable items, and some additional tax revenues may be collected. Also, demand for certain goods would rise during the sales tax holiday weekend, and some research had found evidence that retailers may respond by raising prices, and curtailing their customary "sales prices."¹

Cross-border sales

Two cross-border effects are likely to take place with this bill. It is probable that some Ohioans already purchase clothing in other states and most do not pay Ohio use tax on those purchases. Such cross-border sales may remain in Ohio during the sales tax holiday. Also, Ohio stores may increase sales to residents of neighboring states.² Therefore, cross-border effects may be present, although impossible to quantify based on available data. However, the total cross-border effect on tax revenue may be small.

Shift from remote sales to store sales

A number of consumers purchase clothing and footwear through mail order and the Internet, in part as a tax avoidance strategy. Therefore, the bill would reduce the appeal of such remote purchases, and thus transfer some of the remote sales to store sales in Ohio. Electronic commerce continues to grow, and one of the largest online retailers, Amazon, is now collecting use tax from Ohio consumers, though the firm may

¹ Richard Harper, et al., (2003): *Price Effects Around a Sales Tax Holiday: An Exploratory Study*, 23 Public Budgeting and Finance, 108-113.

² Increased sales to residents of Pennsylvania would be limited, because that state excludes clothing from its sales tax base.

not collect use taxes for third-party sellers using its platform.³ This shift from remote to store sales would result in a positive, but uncertain, fiscal impact.

County school financing district levies

The bill permits a county school financing district to levy taxes for provision of school safety and security and mental health services, including training and employment of or contracting for the services of safety personnel, mental health personnel, social workers, and counselors. Under current law, unchanged by the bill, the governing board of an educational service center (ESC) may declare that its territory is a county school financing district, which may be formed to levy taxes for provision of specified educational programs and services by the school districts that are part of the district. Also under current law, an ESC's governing board, upon receipt of identical resolutions adopted by the board of education of each school district within the territory of the county school financing district, may submit a tax levy to electors. The bill adds to the purposes for which such a levy may be proposed the current expenses of school safety and security and mental health services, including training and employment of or contracting for services of safety personnel, mental health personnel, social workers, and counselors.

This provision may result in one or more additional tax levies being placed on the ballot which, if approved, would raise additional property tax revenues to fund the specified services.

S.B. 226 includes an emergency clause to permit ESCs to submit levies to electors as soon as possible. So the bill would go into immediate effect upon passage and the Governor's action.

SB0226HP.docx/zg

³ Third-party sales have grown to exceed Amazon's sales. Thus, though collections by Amazon may have improved online sales tax collections, overall use tax compliance relative to remote sales remains low.